# Influenza prevention and treatment in transplant recipients and immunocompromised hosts

#### Michael G. Ison

Divisions of Infectious Diseases & Organ Transplantation, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA. *Correspondence:* Michael G. Ison, Divisions of Infectious Diseases & Organ Transplantation, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, 645 N. Michigan Avenue Suite 900, Chicago, IL 60611, USA. E-mail: mgison@northwestern.edu

The host immune response is critical for the control and clearance of influenza virus after initial infection. Unfortunately, key components of the innate and adaptive responses to influenza are compromised in solid organ and hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. As a result, influenza in these key patient populations is associated with prolonged viral shedding, more frequent complications, including bacterial and fungal superinfections and rejection, and increased mortality. While vaccine is the critical prophylaxis strategy in other populations, response rates are diminished, particularly early post-transplant, among immunocompromised patients. Prospective data suggest that antiviral prophylaxis represents an effective and safe alternative to vaccine in patients who would be predicted to have poor responses to influenza vaccine. While there have not been randomized, controlled studies of antiviral therapy completed in solid organ or hematopoietic stem cell patient populations, observational data suggest that early therapy is associated with reduced rates of progression to lower airway involvement, morbidity, and mortality. Further studies are needed to define the optimal regimen, dose, duration, and endpoint to define successful treatment.

**Keywords** influenza, M2 inhibitors, neuraminidase inhibitors, prevention, transplantation, treatment.

Please cite this paper as: Ison (2013) Influenza prevention and treatment in transplant recipients and immunocompromised hosts. Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses 7(Suppl. 3), 60–66.

# Introduction

While influenza typically causes acute, self-limited infections in otherwise healthy, immunocompetent patients, influenza is associated with greater morbidity and mortality in addition to markedly prolonged viral shedding among solid organ and hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) recipients.<sup>1,2</sup> Although there have been several recent epidemiologic studies of influenza in transplant recipients,3-5 most are focused on lung and HSCT populations. Further, most studies have focused on inpatients and less commonly outpatients with clinically significant disease.<sup>1,2</sup> Most of the existing studies provide limited data on initial clinical presentation and few report serial quantitative virology. Likewise, although there are a number of observational studies of influenza prevention and treatment strategies, few have been randomized, controlled studies.<sup>1,2</sup> As a result, although the safety of available vaccines and antivirals has been described in available studies,<sup>1,6,7</sup> the optimal immunization strategy and appropriate dose and duration of antiviral therapy are incompletely understood.<sup>1,2</sup> In addition, although antiviral resistance is recognized to occur more frequently among transplant patients, the specific risk factors and mitigation strategies to prevent resistance have not been defined.<sup>8</sup> Lastly, although influenza may transmitted from donors, particularly lung donors, the risk of donor transmission of influenza remains poorly defined.<sup>2,9</sup>

# **Epidemiology and impact**

The highest risk of severe influenza appears to be in the early post-transplant period following both HSCT and solid organ transplant (SOT) recipients.<sup>10-12</sup> Allo- and cord blood HSCT recipients appear to be at higher risk of progressive influenza infection then autologous donor transplants.<sup>12</sup> Among HSCT recipient, presence of chronic graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), severe lymphopenia (CD4  $\leq$  100 cells/ml), unrelated or mismatched donor, and increasing patient age is also associated with progressive influenza and enhanced morbidity and mortality.<sup>4,12,13</sup> Recent data suggest that complications are similar among allo- and autologous stem cell transplant recipients among patients treated with neuraminidase inhibitors.<sup>4</sup> Most severe infections appear to occur early post-transplant, typically within the first 100 days following HSCT. Among SOT recipients, lung transplantation appears to be associated with the highest risk of progression to

pneumonia and morbidity.<sup>1,3,14,15</sup> Interestingly, the risk of influenza appears to be consistent throughout the post-transplant period from longitudinal studies of lung transplant recipients.<sup>14</sup> Additional risk factors among SOT recipients include recent steroid boluses, typically associated with recent rejection, lymphocyte depletion, and young age (especially  $\leq 1$  year old).<sup>1–3</sup>

Atypical clinical presentations may be common among transplant recipients. In a study of seasonal influenza among HSCT, fever was present in <30% of patients, sore throat was present in <20%, and myalgias were rarely reported; runny nose (85%) and cough (49%) were the most commonly reported symptoms at presentation.<sup>4,16</sup> During the 2009 pandemic, only 81·1%, 28·7%, and 22·7% of influenza-infected HSCT patients presented with fever, myalgias, and cough, respectively.<sup>4,16</sup> Among SOT recipients during the 2009 pandemic, cough (91·5%) and myalgias (~50%) were frequently present.<sup>3</sup> Fever was more frequent in children (95%) than in adults (80%) as was sore throat (59% versus 37%, respectively).<sup>3</sup>

Influenza is associated with both acute and chronic complications in both SOT and HSCT recipients.<sup>12,17-20</sup> In the acute setting, SOT, especially lung transplant, and HSCT patients have a higher rate of progression to lower tract disease, especially without antiviral treatment.<sup>2,20,21</sup> Without antiviral treatment, mortality is likewise high (25-40%).<sup>2</sup> Transplant recipients also appear to be at higher risk of bacterial and fungal superinfections compared with otherwise healthy patients infected with influenza.<sup>2</sup> There appears to be a small but real risk of acute rejection among SOT recipients during influenza infections, although the host immune response to the virus in lung recipients may be misinterpreted as rejection as there is a predominately lymphocyte infiltrate and evidence of inflammation and associated tissue damage during infection.<sup>20</sup> Several studies indicate that development of chronic rejection (bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome; BOS) occurs more frequently in patients with influenza infection, particularly when it is associated with lower airway involvement.<sup>19,20</sup> Likewise, HSCT recipients may develop late-onset airflow obstruction following influenza infection; this complication is clinically significant and associated with reduced survival.<sup>17,18,21</sup>

### **Prevention**

#### Vaccination

Although inactivated influenza vaccination is associated with protection against influenza infection and complications of influenza in immunocompetent adults and children,<sup>22</sup> efficacy appears to be reduced in SOT and HSCT recipients.<sup>6,23,24</sup> Among HSCT recipients, exceptionally low rates of response to vaccination have been consistently been demonstrated in the first 6–12 months post-transplant (see

| Transplant type   | Time post-Tx  | A/H1 (%) | A/H3 (%) | B (%) |
|-------------------|---------------|----------|----------|-------|
| Auto-HSCT         | 0 – 12 months | 30       | 32       | 38    |
|                   | >12 months    | 50       | 50       | 71    |
| Allo-HSCT         | 0 – 12 months | 31       | 9        | 20    |
|                   | >12 months    | 13       | 40       | 33    |
| Kidney transplant | ≥6 months     | 22.6     | 41.2     | 47.1  |
|                   | <6 months     | 5.3      | 21.2     | 15.8  |
| Lung transplant   | ≥3 months     | 30       | 40       | 19    |

Table 1).25 Compared with healthy controls, antibody responses are reduced at most time points post-transplant.<sup>12,23,25</sup> One study, although, noted a clinical efficacy of 80% among HSCT recipients vaccinated beyond 6 months post-transplant.<sup>26</sup> Among SOT recipients, nearly all studies have demonstrated reduced humoral responses to influenza vaccination as compared to healthy controls.<sup>6</sup> Response rates appear to be lower in patients with higher levels of immune suppression and with recent lymphocyte depletion with the best results generally seen among renal transplant recipients.<sup>6</sup> Even when vaccine elicits humoral responses, peaks of antibody titers and duration of protective titers are reduced compared with healthy controls.<sup>27</sup> Interestingly, even with natural infection, seroprotection levels (36.8%) and influenza-specific CD4+ (50%) and CD8+ (36.4%) interferon- $\gamma$ T-cell responses are lower than in otherwise healthy persons post-infection.<sup>28</sup> Although influenza vaccination has been consistently found to be safe without a significant enhanced risk of inducing rejection,<sup>6,24</sup> utilization in transplant patients and their recipients remain low (21-94.5%).<sup>29</sup> One small study of heart transplant recipients found influenza vaccination effective in preventing clinical influenza.<sup>30</sup> There is limited data from HSCT or SOT patients to determine whether influenza vaccination can mitigate the severity of infection among vaccinated patients with breakthrough infection.

Several studies have assessed alternative vaccination strategies, including increased doses of antigen, multiple doses given over time, and intradermal vaccination.<sup>31–33</sup> Available studies have not conclusively demonstrated that alternative strategies of consistently provided higher rates of humoral responses in transplant recipients.<sup>6</sup> A new, high-dose inactivated influenza vaccine has recently been approved for patients  $\geq$ 65 years old, but studies assessing the efficacy in immunocompromised adults and children are still ongoing.<sup>6</sup> Several groups have recently studied the safety and efficacy of adjuvanted influenza vaccine.<sup>34–40</sup> In general, these vaccines were associated with reduced vaccine responses in transplant recipients compared with healthy controls and the risk of developing anti-HLA antibodies post-vaccination.<sup>36,39</sup> In one study of heart transplant recipients, there was a higher rate of clinically significant rejection in recipients of the ASO3-adjuvanted vaccine.<sup>39</sup>

Despite the limitations of influenza vaccination, a recent meta-analysis found that it was associated with reduced risk of influenza-like illness and laboratory-confirmed influenza SOT and cancer patients.<sup>24</sup> As such, inactivated influenza vaccination is recommended for both HSCT and SOT recipients, and their close contacts annually by current guidelines.<sup>6,22,23</sup> Live-attenuated, intranasal influenza vaccine is not recommended for post-transplant recipients given the theoretical potential to develop clinical disease from the vaccine strain. Adjuvanted vaccines may be associated with a higher risk of development of anti-HLA antibodies and possibly a higher risk of rejection among heart transplant recipients; this has led some groups to avoid adjuvanted vaccines when unadjuvanted vaccines are available.<sup>6</sup>

## Antiviral prophylaxis

As the result of reduced responses to influenza vaccination, many guidelines recommend considering seasonal influenza chemoprophylaxis, particularly in patients early after HSCT or early after receipt of lymphocyte depletion for induction or treatment of rejection among SOT recipients.<sup>22,23,41,42</sup> Multiple randomized trial studies in immunocompetent patients document that antiviral prophylaxis is generally well-tolerated and effective in preventing influenza illness in a variety of settings including in households, chronic care facilities, and the community.<sup>28</sup> Observational reports indicate that outbreak-triggered prophylaxis is protective in high-risk patient populations, including nursing home patients and HSCT recipients.43,44 A recently published randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study provides further evidence to support the use of seasonal prophylaxis in transplant recipients.<sup>7</sup> In this trial, kidney, liver, kidney-liver, and HSCT patients were given 12 weeks of oseltamivir 75 mg QD (or the renally adjusted equivalent) or placebo.<sup>7</sup> Although the study failed to demonstrate superiority of the intervention for the primary endpoint, laboratory-documented, symptomatic influenza infection, most patients with laboratory proven influenza did not present with signs or symptoms of infection. There was a statistically significant reduction in the frequency of culture (0.4% versus 3.8%; 88% protective efficacy) or RT-PCR (1.7% versus 8.4%; 74.9% protective efficacy) proven influenza in favor of seasonal prophylaxis.<sup>7</sup> Of the patients who had breakthrough infection with influenza despite prophylaxis with oseltamivir, none had changes in IC<sub>50</sub> suggestive of resistance emerging.<sup>7</sup> However, emergence and transmission of oseltamivir-resistant A(H1N1) viruses among contacts in oncology and transplant units have been documented.45,46 If influenza develops in the setting of oseltamivir prophylaxis, most experts recommend changing to inhaled or intravenous zanamivir treatment until resistance is ruled out. If this occurs in the context of a unit outbreak, then inhaled zanamivir would be the agent of choice for prophylaxis. Despite the data from this study and recommendations in key guidelines, use of seasonal antiviral prophylaxis has been limited by insurance companies refusing to pay for the longterm prophylaxis; wider use of seasonal influenza prophylaxis has been associated with some patients discontinuing therapy because of GI intolerance. Lastly, there is concern, too, that the current regimen may be associated with a low but true risk of resistance emergences. As such, all patients who develop symptoms on prophylaxis should be counseled to seek care immediately and alternative regiments, such as fulltreatment dosing should be studied.

# **Treatment for influenza**

Management of influenza in transplant recipients is challenging because modest initial symptom severity and frequency may delay recognition of infection in transplant recipients, as has been discussed above.<sup>16,47</sup> As a result, only a minority of patients have antiviral therapy started within the first 48 h following symptom onset<sup>3,21</sup> although initialing therapy beyond 48 h appears to be effective.<sup>21,42</sup> Further, viral replication is prolonged compared with immunocompetent patients, even when antivirals are utilized. In one study of HSCT recipients with influenza monitored serially by quantitative RT-PCR, all patients had detectable nasal viral RNA for  $\geq$ 7 days and several had detectability beyond 14 days, despite antiviral therapy in most.<sup>48</sup> Existing data also suggest that most patients receive a short course of therapy (5 days) despite the risk of rebound and, potentially, risk of resistance emergence.<sup>3,49</sup> In addition, it is clear that the immune defect present in each patient is different which likely affects the duration of viral replication. Limited prospective studies in the various transplant populations also leave the optimal dose, regimen, and duration of therapy incompletely defined.<sup>47</sup> Likewise, there is lack of consensus on how response to therapy should be assessed in immunocompromised patients because of the heterogeneity in viral replication and illness severity among these patients.<sup>47</sup> Lastly, emergence of antiviral resistance appears to occur more commonly among immunocompromised patients.<sup>50-52</sup> Resistance appears to be associated with recurrent or worsening symptoms despite ongoing antiviral therapy. Immunosuppressed patients infected with resistant influenza have a higher rate of progression to pneumonia and death, although use of active antiviral therapy has been associated with clinical improvement in some patients.<sup>8,45,46,50,52-54</sup> Unfortunately, specific risk factors for developing resistance and strategies for mitigate development of resistance mutations remain to be defined.47,50 A novel combination of amantadine, oseltamivir, and ribavirin has recently been studied in HSCT and holds promise as the combination may prevent the emergence of antiviral resistance.<sup>55</sup>

Despite these limitations, nearly all observational studies of antiviral therapy in SOT and HSCT demonstrate clinical benefit of antiviral therapy compared with no therapy. 4,12,21,56-<sup>60</sup> Among HSCT recipients, early antiviral therapy is associated with reduced risk of lower tract disease (adj OR, 0.04; 95% CI, 0.0-0.2; P < 0.001), reduced risk of developing hypoxemia (adj OR, 0.14; 95% CI, 0.0-0.4; P < 0.001), and reduced overall death at 6 weeks (adj HR, 0.21; 95% CI, 0.0-1.0; P = 0.049) and 6 months (adj HR, 0.3; 95% CI, 0.1-0.8; P = 0.014<sup>21</sup> Among SOT patients, early therapy within 2 days of illness onset has been associated with reduced risk of admission to the ICU and enhanced survival.<sup>3</sup> Among lung transplant recipients, antiviral therapy is associated with a high rate of clinical recovery. Although the impact on chronic rejection is less clear, one study of seasonal influenza found that no patients receiving antiviral therapy developed de novo BOS or a worsening trajectory of baseline BOS, even when lower tract disease was documented.<sup>15</sup> However, in another study of oseltamivir-treated lung transplant recipients with pandemic A/H1N1 infection, 32% developed BOS or had worsening of baseline BOS.<sup>5</sup> Complications, including bacterial and fungal infections and rejection, appear to occur but may be reduced with antiviral therapy among SOT recipients with influenza treated with antivirals.<sup>3,61-63</sup>

While most recent literature discusses the efficacy of oseltamivir, there are a few case reports that demonstrate tolerability and generally good outcomes with inhaled zanamivir.<sup>64,65</sup> Most of the published experience with zanamivir addresses the compassionate use of its intravenous formulation in patients with progressive influenza infection or documented resistance to oseltamivir.53,54,66,67 Various mutations leading to resistance have been documented during NAI therapy in immunocompromised hosts, but the most common mutation conferring high-level oseltamivir resistance in N1-containing viruses is the H275Y mutation. Such viruses retain susceptibility to zanamivir but have reduced susceptibility to peramivir. Case reports indicate that IV zanamivir has benefited some transplant patients with oseltamivir-resistant infections, although virus with reductions in susceptibility to all NAIs has emerged in some.<sup>68,69</sup> There are too limited data to make conclusions about efficacy of IV peramivir in this transplant recipients, although virus with the H275Y mutation has emerged or failed to clear during its use.<sup>70–72</sup> Lastly, combination therapy has been tried in a few patients, but additional studies are needed to identify the optimal combination to use.<sup>73</sup> The combination of amantadine, oseltamivir, and ribavirin has shown promise in a small study of HSCT recipients.<sup>55</sup> In contrast, recent studies failed to find improved outcomes with the combination of oseltamivir and zanamivir.74-76

A number of investigational antiviral agents are in various stages of clinical development.<sup>77</sup> As several have mechanisms of action that differ from NAIs and M2 inhibitors, they offer the possibility of treating influenza infections resistant to currently available agents. One of these, an inhaled sialidase designated DAS181, shows antiviral activity in uncomplicated seasonal influenza and has been used in treating individual transplant patients with.<sup>78–81</sup> Like intravenous zanamivir, it is currently available on compassionate use basis from its manufacturer.

## **Donor-derived influenza**

Infections present in donors can rarely be transmitted to the recipient of organs or blood products.<sup>82</sup> Influenza, as it may cause lower respiratory illness and rarely extra-pulmonary dissemination, represents a pathogen that could potentially be transmitted from donor to recipient. Data from seasonal influenza epidemics suggest that the detection of influenza RNA-emia is rare in donated blood.<sup>83</sup> US and Japanese studies during the 2009 influenza pandemic failed to demonstrate donors, who developed symptomatic influenza shortly after donation, with detectable RNA-emia.<sup>84,85</sup> Nonetheless, because of the concern of potential transmission, donors of hematopoietic stem cells should not donate if they are symptomatic with influenza.

There have been reports of donor-derived influenza transmission in lung transplant recipients from donors with proven influenza A and B infections.<sup>86–89</sup> Transmission has not been documented in other transplant recipients.<sup>86</sup> The patterns of influenza replication, particularly with novel or avian strains, should be considered in determining the potential risk of transmission in non-lung recipients.9 If influenza is transmitted through organ donation, viremia and atypical presentations, with limited to no respiratory symptoms, may occur initially in extra-pulmonary transplant recipients.9 Current guidelines recommend against the use of lung donors with proven influenza until they have received a course of antiviral therapy and optimally have been documented not to have influenza detectable in the lower airways by sensitive assays like RT-PCR. Recipients of any organs from donors infected with influenza should receive 10 days of antiviral treatment at full therapeutic doses.9

## Conclusions

Influenza causes annual epidemics of respiratory infections that are associated with increased morbidity and mortality in immunocompromised patients. Influenza vaccination is the mainstay of prevention and has been proven to be safe in this unique population. Unfortunately, influenza vaccination is associated with reduced humoral responses, particularly in HSCT recipients early post-transplant. Studies are needed to optimize vaccine responses in transplant recipients. Furthermore vaccination rates in transplant recipients and their close contacts remain suboptimal. Seasonal prophylaxis with oseltamivir may be an alternative to vaccination in patients who are predicted to have a poor response to vaccine. Therapy with neuraminidase inhibitors, especially when started early, is associated with reduced morbidity and mortality. Prospective studies of antiviral therapy are needed to define the optimal dose, duration, and regimen for treatment for influenza in the various immunocompromised patient populations.

### Disclosure

MGI has conducted research, with support paid to Northwestern University, for Cellex, Crucell, GlaxoSmithKlein, Genentech/Roche, NexBio; he has provided renumerated consultation for Alios, Abbott, Crucell, Genentech/Roche, and unremunerated consultation for BioCryst, Biota, Cellex, Clarassance, GlaxoSmithKlein, Toyama/MediVector, Nex-Bio, Toyama, Visterra. He has served on the DSMB for studies sponsored by Biota, NexBio.

### References

- Ison MG, Hirsch HH. Influenza: a recurrent challenge to transplantation. Transpl Infect Dis 2010; 12:95–97.
- **2** Ison MG. Influenza, including the novel H1N1, in organ transplant patients. Curr Opin infect Dis 2010; 23:365–373.
- 3 Kumar D, Michaels MG, Morris MI *et al.* Outcomes from pandemic influenza A H1N1 infection in recipients of solid-organ transplants: a multicentre cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis 2010; 10:521–526.
- **4** Ljungman P, de la Camara R, Perez-Bercoff L *et al.* Outcome of pandemic H1N1 infections in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Haematologica 2011; 96:1231–1235.
- **5** Ng BJ, Glanville AR, Snell G *et al.* The impact of pandemic influenza A H1N1 2009 on Australian lung transplant recipients. Am J Transplant 2011; 11:568–574.
- 6 Kumar D, Blumberg EA, Danziger-Isakov L et al. Influenza vaccination in the organ transplant recipient: review and summary recommendations. Am J Transplant 2011; 11:2020–2030.
- **7** Ison MG, Szakaly P, Shapira MY, Krivan G, Nist A, Dutkowski R. Efficacy and safety of oral oseltamivir for influenza prophylaxis in transplant recipients. Antivir Ther 2012; 17:955–964.
- **8** Ison MG. Antivirals and resistance: influenza virus. Curr Opin Virol 2011; 1:563–573.
- 9 Kumar D, Morris MI, Kotton CN, Practice ASTIDCo et al. Guidance on novel influenza A/H1N1 in solid organ transplant recipients. Am J Transplant 2010; 10:18–25.
- **10** Milano F, Campbell AP, Guthrie KA *et al*. Human rhinovirus and coronavirus detection among allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients. Blood 2010; 115:2088–2094.
- **11** Nichols WG, Guthrie KA, Corey L, Boeckh M. Influenza infections after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: risk factors, mortality, and the effect of antiviral therapy. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 39:1300–1306.
- 12 Casper C, Englund J, Boeckh M. How I treat influenza in patients with hematologic malignancies. Blood 2010; 115:1331–1342.

- **13** Chakrabarti S, Collingham KE, Marshall T *et al.* Respiratory virus infections in adult T cell-depleted transplant recipients: the role of cellular immunity. Transplantation 2001; 72:1460–1463.
- **14** Kumar D, Husain S, Chen MH *et al.* A prospective molecular surveillance study evaluating the clinical impact of community-acquired respiratory viruses in lung transplant recipients. Transplantation 2010; 89:1028–1033.
- **15** Ison MG, Sharma A, Shepard JA, Wain JC, Ginns LC. Outcome of influenza infection managed with oseltamivir in lung transplant recipients. J Heart Lung Transplant 2008; 27:282–288.
- 16 Peck AJ, Englund JA, Kuypers J et al. Respiratory virus infection among hematopoietic cell transplant recipients: evidence for asymptomatic parainfluenza virus infection. Blood 2007; 110:1681–1688.
- **17** Chien JW, Martin PJ, Gooley TA *et al.* Airflow obstruction after myeloablative allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2003; 168:208–214.
- 18 Erard V, Chien JW, Kim HW et al. Airflow decline after myeloablative allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation: the role of community respiratory viruses. J Infect Dis 2006; 193:1619–1625.
- **19** Gottlieb J, Schulz TF, Welte T *et al.* Community-acquired respiratory viral infections in lung transplant recipients: a single season cohort study. Transplantation 2009; 87:1530–1537.
- 20 Vu DL, Bridevaux PO, Aubert JD, Soccal PM, Kaiser L. Respiratory viruses in lung transplant recipients: a critical review and pooled analysis of clinical studies. Am J Transplant 2011; 11:1071–1078.
- 21 Choi SM, Boudreault AA, Xie H, Englund JA, Corey L, Boeckh M. Differences in clinical outcomes after 2009 influenza A/H1N1 and seasonal influenza among hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. Blood 2011; 117:5050–5056.
- 22 Centers for Disease C, Prevention. Prevention and control of influenza with vaccines: recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices (ACIP)–United States, 2012–13 influenza season. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2012; 61:613–618.
- **23** Zaia J, Baden L, Boeckh MJ, Center for International B, Marrow Transplant R, National Marrow Donor P *et al.* Viral disease prevention after hematopoietic cell transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant 2009; 44:471–482.
- 24 Beck CR, McKenzie BC, Hashim AB, Harris RC, University of Nottingham I, the ImmunoCompromised Study G, Nguyen-Van-Tam JS. Influenza vaccination for immunocompromised patients: systematic review and meta-analysis by etiology. J Infect Dis 2012; 206:1250–1259.
- 25 Ljungman P, Avetisyan G. Influenza vaccination in hematopoietic SCT recipients. Bone Marrow Transplant 2008; 42:637–641.
- 26 Machado CM, Cardoso MR, da Rocha IF, Boas LS, Dulley FL, Pannuti CS. The benefit of influenza vaccination after bone marrow transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant 2005; 36:897–900.
- **27** Birdwell KA, Ikizler MR, Sannella EC *et al.* Decreased antibody response to influenza vaccination in kidney transplant recipients: a prospective cohort study. Am J Kidney Dis 2009; 54:112–121.
- **28** Baluch A, Humar A, Egli A *et al.* Long term immune responses to pandemic influenza A/H1N1 infection in solid organ transplant recipients. PLoS ONE 2011; 6:e28627.
- **29** Chon WJ, Kadambi PV, Harland RC *et al.* Changing attitudes toward influenza vaccination in U.S. Kidney transplant programs over the past decade. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2010; 5:1637–1641.
- **30** Magnani G, Falchetti E, Pollini G *et al.* Safety and efficacy of two types of influenza vaccination in heart transplant recipients: a prospective randomised controlled study. J Heart Lung Transplant 2005; 24:588–592.
- **31** Manuel O, Humar A, Berutto C *et al.* Low-dose intradermal versus intramuscular trivalent inactivated seasonal influenza vaccine in lung transplant recipients. J Heart Lung Transplant 2011; 30:679–684.

- **32** Morelon E, Pouteil Noble C, Daoud S *et al.* Immunogenicity and safety of intradermal influenza vaccination in renal transplant patients who were non-responders to conventional influenza vaccination. Vaccine 2010; 28:6885–6890.
- 33 Soesman NM, Rimmelzwaan GF, Nieuwkoop NJ et al. Efficacy of influenza vaccination in adult liver transplant recipients. J Med Virol 2000; 61:85–93.
- **34** Broeders NE, Hombrouck A, Lemy A *et al.* Influenza A/H1N1 vaccine in patients treated by kidney transplant or dialysis: a cohort study. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2011; 6:2573–2578.
- **35** Esposito S, Meregalli E, Daleno C *et al.* An open-label, randomized clinical trial assessing immunogenicity, safety and tolerability of pandemic influenza A/H1N1 MF59-adjuvanted vaccine administered sequentially or simultaneously with seasonal virosomal-adjuvanted influenza vaccine to paediatric kidney transplant recipients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2011; 26:2018–2024.
- 36 Fairhead T, Hendren E, Tinckam K et al. Poor seroprotection but allosensitization after adjuvanted pandemic influenza H1N1 vaccine in kidney transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis 2012; 14:575–583.
- 37 Manuel O, Pascual M, Hoschler K et al. Humoral response to the influenza A H1N 1/09 monovalent AS03-adjuvanted vaccine in immunocompromised patients. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 52:248–256.
- **38** Meyer S, Adam M, Schweiger B *et al.* Antibody response after a single dose of an AS03-adjuvanted split-virion influenza A (H1N1) vaccine in heart transplant recipients. Transplantation 2011; 91:1031–1035.
- 39 Schaffer SA, Husain S, Delgado DH, Kavanaugh L, Ross HJ. Impact of adjuvanted H1N1 vaccine on cell-mediated rejection in heart transplant recipients. Am J Transplant 2011; 11:2751–2754.
- **40** Siegrist CA, Ambrosioni J, Bel M *et al.* Responses of solid organ transplant recipients to the AS03-adjuvanted pandemic influenza vaccine. Antivir Ther 2012; 17:893–903.
- 41 Ison MG, Michaels MG, Practice ASTIDCo. RNA respiratory viral infections in solid organ transplant recipients. Am J Transplant 2009; 9(Suppl 4):S166–S172.
- **42** Engelhard D, Mohty B, de la Camara R, Cordonnier C, Ljungman P. European guidelines for prevention and management of influenza in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and leukemia patients: summary of ECIL-4 (2011), on behalf of ECIL, a joint venture of EBMT, EORTC, ICHS, and ELN. Transpl Infect Dis 2013; 15:219–232.
- **43** Moscona A. Neuraminidase inhibitors for influenza. N Engl J Med 2005; 353:1363–1373.
- 44 Vu D, Peck AJ, Nichols WG et al. Safety and tolerability of oseltamivir prophylaxis in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients: a retrospective case–control study. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 45:187–193.
- **45** Chen LF, Dailey NJ, Rao AK *et al.* Cluster of oseltamivir-resistant 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus infections on a hospital ward among immunocompromised patients–North Carolina, 2009. J Infect Dis 2011; 203:838–846.
- **46** Moore C, Galiano M, Lackenby A *et al.* Evidence of person-to-person transmission of oseltamivir-resistant pandemic influenza A(H1N1) 2009 virus in a hematology unit. J Infect Dis 2011; 203:18–24.
- **47** Ison MG, de Jong MD, Gilligan KJ *et al.* End points for testing influenza antiviral treatments for patients at high risk of severe and life-threatening disease. J Infect Dis 2010; 201:1654–1662.
- 48 Khanna N, Steffen I, Studt JD et al. Outcome of influenza infections in outpatients after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Transpl Infect Dis 2009; 11:100–105.
- **49** Protheroe RE, Kirkland KE, Pearce RM *et al.* The clinical features and outcome of 2009 H1N1 influenza infection in allo-SCT patients: a British Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation Study. Bone Marrow Transplant 2012; 47:88–94.

- **50** Hurt AC, Chotpitayasunondh T, Cox NJ *et al.* Antiviral resistance during the 2009 influenza A H1N1 pandemic: public health, laboratory, and clinical perspectives. Lancet Infect Dis 2012; 12:240–248.
- **51** lioka F, Sada R, Maesako Y, Nakamura F, Ohno H. Outbreak of pandemic 2009 influenza A/H1N1 infection in the hematology ward: fatal clinical outcome of hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients and emergence of the H275Y neuraminidase mutation. Int J Hematol 2012; 96:364–369.
- **52** Tramontana AR, George B, Hurt AC *et al.* Oseltamivir resistance in adult oncology and hematology patients infected with pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus, Australia. Emerg Infect Dis 2010; 16:1068–1075.
- **53** Shetty AK, Ross GA, Pranikoff T *et al.* Oseltamivir-resistant 2009 H1N1 influenza pneumonia during therapy in a renal transplant recipient. Pediatr Transplant 2012; 16:E153–E157.
- **54** Speers DJ, Williams SH, Pinder M, Moody HR, Hurt AC, Smith DW. Oseltamivir-resistant pandemic (H1N1) 2009 influenza in a severely ill patient: the first Australian case. Med J Aust 2010; 192:166–168.
- **55** Seo S, Englund JA, Nguyen JT *et al.* Combination therapy with amantadine, oseltamivir and ribavirin for influenza A infection: safety and pharmacokinetics. Antivir Ther 2013; 18:377–386.
- 56 Boudreault AA, Xie H, Leisenring W, Englund J, Corey L, Boeckh M. Impact of corticosteroid treatment and antiviral therapy on clinical outcomes in hematopoietic cell transplant patients infected with influenza virus. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2011; 17:979–986.
- 57 Cost C, Brock E, Adams-Huet B, Siegel JD, Ardura MI. 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus infection in pediatric oncology and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation patients. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2011; 56:127–133.
- 58 George B, Ferguson P, Kerridge I, Gilroy N, Gottlieb D, Hertzberg M. The clinical impact of infection with swine flu (H1N109) strain of influenza virus in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2011; 17:147–153.
- **59** Mohty B, Thomas Y, Vukicevic M *et al.* Clinical features and outcome of 2009-influenza A (H1N1) after allogeneic hematopoietic SCT. Bone Marrow Transplant 2012; 47:236–242.
- **60** Rihani R, Hayajneh W, Sultan I *et al.* Infections with the 2009 H1N1 influenza virus among hematopoietic SCT recipients: a single center experience. Bone Marrow Transplant 2011; 46:1430–1436.
- 61 Fox BD, Raviv Y, Rozengarten D, Rusanov V, Bakal I, Kramer MR. Pandemic influenza (H1N1): impact on lung transplant recipients and candidates. J Heart Lung Transplant 2010; 29:1034–1038.
- 62 Gainer SM, Patel SJ, Seethamraju H, Moore LW, Knight RJ, Gaber AO. Increased mortality of solid organ transplant recipients with H1N1 infection: a single center experience. Clin Transplant 2012; 26:229– 237.
- **63** Smud A, Nagel CB, Madsen E *et al.* Pandemic influenza A/H1N1 virus infection in solid organ transplant recipients: a multicenter study. Transplantation 2010; 90:1458–1462.
- **64** Johny AA, Clark A, Price N, Carrington D, Oakhill A, Marks DI. The use of zanamivir to treat influenza A and B infection after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant 2002; 29:113–115.
- **65** Medeiros R, Rameix-Welti MA, Lorin V *et al.* Failure of zanamivir therapy for pneumonia in a bone-marrow transplant recipient infected by a zanamivir-sensitive influenza A (H1N1) virus. Antivir Ther 2007; 12:571–576.
- **66** Dohna-Schwake C, Schweiger B, Felderhoff-Muser U *et al.* Severe H1N1 infection in a pediatric liver transplant recipient treated with intravenous zanamivir: efficiency and complications. Transplantation 2010; 90:223–224.
- **67** Ghosh S, Adams O, Schuster FR, Borkhardt A, Meisel R. Efficient control of pandemic 2009 H1N1 virus infection with intravenous

#### Ison

zanamivir despite the lack of immune function. Transpl Infect Dis 2012; 14:657–659.

- 68 van der Vries E, Stelma FF, Boucher CA. Emergence of a multidrugresistant pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus. N Engl J Med 2010; 363:1381–1382.
- **69** van der Vries E, Collins PJ, Vachieri SG *et al.* H1N1 2009 pandemic influenza virus: resistance of the I223R neuraminidase mutant explained by kinetic and structural analysis. PLoS Pathog 2012; 8: e1002914.
- **70** Campbell AP, Jacob ST, Kuypers J *et al.* Respiratory failure caused by 2009 novel influenza A/H1N1 in a hematopoietic stem-cell transplant recipient: detection of extrapulmonary H1N1 RNA and use of intravenous peramivir. Ann Intern Med 2010; 152:619–620.
- **71** Renaud C, Pergam SA, Polyak C *et al.* Early emergence of an H275Y mutation in a hematopoietic cell transplant recipient treated with intravenous peramivir. Transpl Infect Dis 2010; 12:513–517.
- 72 Shetty AK, Peek LA. Peramivir for the treatment of influenza. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2012; 10:123–143.
- **73** Hoopes JD, Driebe EM, Kelley E *et al.* Triple combination antiviral drug (TCAD) composed of amantadine, oseltamivir, and ribavirin impedes the selection of drug-resistant influenza A virus. PLoS ONE 2011; 6:e29778.
- 74 Fraaij PL, van der Vries E, Beersma MF et al. Evaluation of the antiviral response to zanamivir administered intravenously for treatment of critically ill patients with pandemic influenza A (H1N1) infection. J Infect Dis 2011; 204:777–782.
- **75** Carrat F, Duval X, Tubach F *et al.* Effect of oseltamivir, zanamivir or oseltamivir-zanamivir combination treatments on transmission of influenza in households. Antivir Ther 2012; 17:1085–1090.
- **76** Duval X, van der Werf S, Blanchon T *et al.* Efficacy of oseltamivirzanamivir combination compared to each monotherapy for seasonal influenza: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. PLoS Med 2010; 7: e1000362.
- **77** Hayden FG. Experimental human influenza: observations from studies of influenza antivirals. Antivir Ther 2012; 17:133–141.
- **78** Drozd DR, Limaye AP, Moss RB *et al.* DAS181 treatment of severe parainfluenza type 3 pneumonia in a lung transplant recipient. Transpl Infect Dis 2013; 15:E28–E32.

- 79 Moss RB, Hansen C, Sanders RL, Hawley S, Li T, Steigbigel RT. A phase Il study of DAS181, a novel host directed antiviral for the treatment of influenza infection. J Infect Dis 2012; 206:1844–1851.
- **80** Guzman-Suarez BB, Buckley MW, Gilmore ET *et al.* Clinical potential of DAS181 for treatment of parainfluenza-3 infections in transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis 2012; 14:427–433.
- **81** Chen YB, Driscoll JP, McAfee SL *et al.* Treatment of parainfluenza 3 infection with DAS181 in a patient after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 53:e77–e80.
- 82 Ison MG, Nalesnik MA. An update on donor-derived disease transmission in organ transplantation. Am J Transplant 2011; 11:1123–1130.
- 83 Likos AM, Kelvin DJ, Cameron CM, Rowe T, Kuehnert MJ, Norris PJ, National Heart LBIREDS, II. Influenza viremia and the potential for blood-borne transmission. Transfusion 2007; 47:1080–1088.
- 84 Sobata R, Matsumoto C, Igarashi M et al. No viremia of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 was demonstrated in blood donors who had donated blood during the probable incubation period. Transfusion 2011; 51:1949–1956.
- 85 Stramer SL, Collins C, Nugent T *et al.* Sensitive detection assays for influenza RNA do not reveal viremia in us blood donors. J Infect Dis 2012; 205:886–894.
- 86 Cockbain AJ, Jacob M, Ecuyer C, Hostert L, Ahmad N. Transplantation of solid organs procured from influenza A H1N1 infected donors. Transpl Int 2011; 24:e107–e110.
- 87 Halliday N, Wilmore S, Griffiths PD, Neuberger J, Thorburn D. Risk of transmission of H1N1 influenza by solid organ transplantation in the United Kingdom. Transplantation 2012; 93:551–554.
- 88 Le Page AK, Kainer G, Glanville AR, Tu E, Bhonagiri D, Rawlinson WD. Influenza B virus transmission in recipients of kidney and lung transplants from an infected donor. Transplantation 2010; 90:99– 102.
- **89** Meylan PR, Aubert JD, Kaiser L. Influenza transmission to recipient through lung transplantation. Transpl Infect Dis 2007; 9:55–57.
- **90** Mazzone PJ, Mossad SB, Mawhorter SD, Mehta AC, Schilz RJ, Maurer JR. The humoral immune response to influenza vaccination in lung transplant patients. Eur Respir J 2001; 18:971–976.